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## Dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine oxide)magnesium

The compound $\left[\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{OP}\right)_{2}\right.$ ] was inadvertantly crystallized during a reaction involving magnesium germanide. It is isostructural to the $\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Cu}$ and Zn analogs. Since fourcoordinate Mg is rare, its structure is reported here. The Mg atom lies on a twofold axis and is surrounded by two O atoms and two Cl atoms in a distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry.

## Comment

Dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine oxide)magnesium, (I), was prepared serendipitously from the reaction of germanium tetrachloride, triphenylphosphine and magnesium germanide in 2-methoxyethyl ether. We were interested in trapping intermediates formed during the preparation of germanium nanoclusters (Taylor et al., 1999) with triphenylphosphine and obtained colorless parallelepiped crystals of (I).

(I)

Crystals of (I) are orthorhombic and crystallize in space group Fdd2, as do its analogs where magnesium is replaced by zinc (Rose et al., 1980; Kosky et al., 1982), copper (Bertrand \& Kalyanaraman, 1971; Weinberger et al., 1997), cobalt (Cotton et al., 2002), and manganese (Tomita, 1985). The only notable difference is that (I) has a more idealized tetrahedral coordination, with bond angles about the metal atom ranging from 101.44 (5) to 113.54 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$. The Mg atom lies on a twofold axis. Table 1 compares various geometric parameters of the $M^{\text {II }}$ isomorphs. The dihedral angle between the $\mathrm{O}-M-\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}-M-\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ planes is another measure of distortion from idealized tetrahedral geometry, since an angle of $90^{\circ}$ would correspond to tetrahedral and $0^{\circ}$ would correspond to square planar. This angle is similar in all of the complexes except in the Cu complex. It shows considerable flattening of the tetrahedral geometry, as has been previously noted (Rose et al., 1980; Kosky et al., 1982; Weinberger et al., 1997). Compound (I) is soluble in polar organic solvents, such as chloroform and dichloromethane, supporting the use of phosphine oxides as extraction agents for alkaline earth metals (Arnaud-Neu et al., 1999).
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## Experimental

2-Methoxyethyl ether was distilled twice under argon from sodium and benzophenone prior to use. A degassed solution of triphenylphosphine $(0.345 \mathrm{~g}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol})$, germanium tetrachloride $(0.15 \mathrm{ml}$, $0.282 \mathrm{~g}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 20 ml of 2-methoxyethyl ether was added to a 250 ml Schlenk flask containing magnesium germanide ( 53 mg , $0.437 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-methoxyethyl ether ( 20 ml ). The resultant mixture was refluxed for 18 h and cooled. Volatiles were removed in vacuo. Solids were dissolved in 10 ml of dried, degassed dichloromethane and separated from a small amount of solids via a cannula. Over the period of several months crystals of (I) formed from this solution.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{OP}\right)_{2}\right]$
$M_{r}=651.75$
Orthorhombic, Fdd2
$a=20.786$ (2) A
$b=32.459$ (3) $\AA$
$c=9.7270(11) \AA$
$V=6562.8(12) \AA^{3}$
$Z=8$
$D_{x}=1.319 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Data collection

| Bruker SMART 1000 | 4774 independent reflections |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\quad$ diffractometer | 4691 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ |
| $\omega$ scans | $R_{\text {int }}=0.020$ |
| Absorption correction: multi-scan | $\theta_{\max }=30.0^{\circ}$ |
| $\quad(S A D A B S ;$ Sheldrick, 2001 $)$ | $h=-26 \rightarrow 26$ |
| $T_{\min }=0.865, T_{\max }=0.956$ | $k=-45 \rightarrow 45$ |
| 21340 measured reflections | $l=-13 \rightarrow 13$ |

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.023$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.061$
$S=1.07$
4774 reflections
196 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained

Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 718
reflections
$\theta=2.3-30.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.35 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=90(2) \mathrm{K}$
Parallelepiped, colorless $0.43 \times 0.23 \times 0.13 \mathrm{~mm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4774 \text { independent reflections } \\
& 4691 \text { reflections with } I>2 \sigma(I) \\
& R_{\text {int }}=0.020 \\
& \theta_{\max }=30.0^{\circ} \\
& h=-26 \rightarrow 26 \\
& k=-45 \rightarrow 45 \\
& l=-13 \rightarrow 13
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0408 P)^{2}\right. \\
& \quad+2.5125 P] \\
& \quad \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.002 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.32 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.16 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \text { Absolute structure: Flack }(1983), \\
& \text { 2262 Friedel pairs } \\
& \text { Flack parameter }=0.03(3)
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Comparison of geometric parameters $\left(\AA{ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $M \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(M=\mathrm{Mg}$, $\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Zn}$ ).

| $M^{\mathrm{II}}$ | $M-\mathrm{O}$ | $M-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $M-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ | Dihedral | Range of angles at $M^{\mathrm{II}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Mg}^{a}$ | $1.9401(8)$ | $2.2889(4)$ | $157.64(6)$ | 88.0 | $101.4-113.5$ |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{b}$ | $2.069(6)$ | $2.294(3)$ | $156.0(4)$ | 87.5 | $98.6-115.7$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}^{c}$ | $1.971(2)$ | $2.2269(10)$ | $153.5(2)$ | 87.8 | $97.9-112.8$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{d}$ | $2.011(3)$ | $2.132(2)$ | $148.5(2)$ | 71.4 | $89.7-127.8$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn}^{e}$ | $1.974(5)$ | $2.204(2)$ | $153.4(3)$ | 87.7 | $96.8-116.3$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn}^{f}$ | $1.967(5)$ | $2.187(3)$ | $154.1(3)$ | 87.8 | $97.0-117.2$ |

References: (a) this work; (b) Tomita, 1985); (c) Cotton et al. (2002); (d) Weinberger et al. (1997); (e) Rose et al. (1980); (f) Kosky et al. (1982).

Phenyl H atoms were placed at idealized positions and refined using a model whereby the H atom was riding on the bonded carbon at a distance of $0.95 \AA$ and with an isotropic $U$ value equal to 1.2 times the equivalent isotropic $U$ of the bonded carbon.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: SMART; data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 2001); program(s) used to solve


Figure 1
View of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. [Symmetry code: (i) $\frac{3}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}-y$, $z$.
structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1994); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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